

Predicate Calculus

Michael Meyling

June 13, 2011

The source for this document can be found here:

http://www.qedeq.org/0_04_03/doc/math/qedeq_formal_logic_v1.xml

Copyright by the authors. All rights reserved.

If you have any questions, suggestions or want to add something to the list of modules that use this one, please send an email to the address mime@qedeq.org

The authors of this document are: Michael Meyling michael@meyling.com

Contents

Summary	5
1 Language	7
1.1 Terms and Formulas	7
2 Axioms and Rules of Inference	11
2.1 Axioms	11
2.2 Rules of Inference	12
2.3 First Propositions	14
2.4 Deduction Theorem	15
2.5 Further Theorems	15
Bibliography	23
Index	23

Summary

In this text we present the development of predicate calculus in axiomatic form. The language of our calculus bases on the formalizations of *D. Hilbert*, *W. Ackermann*[3], *P. S. Novikov*[1], *V. Detlovs* and *K. Podnieks*[2]. New rules can be derived from the herein presented logical axioms and basic inference rules. Only these meta rules lead to a smooth flowing logical argumentation. For background informations see under <http://www.ltn.lv/~podnieks/mlog/ml.htm> and http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Propositional_calculus.

Chapter 1

Language

In this chapter we define a formal language to express mathematical propositions in a very precise way. Although this document describes a very formal approach to express mathematical content it is not sufficient to serve as a definition for an computer readable document format. Therefore such an extensive specification has to be done elsewhere. The chosen format is the *Extensible Markup Language* abbreviated *XML*. XML is a set of rules for encoding documents electronically.¹ The according formal syntax specification can be found at <http://www.qedeq.org/current/xml/qedeq.xsd>. It specifies a complete mathematical document format that enables the generation of L^AT_EXbooks and makes automatic proof checking possible. Further syntax restrictions and some explanations can be found at http://www.qedeq.org/current/doc/project/qedeq_logic_language_en.pdf.

Even this document is (or was generated) from an XML file that can be found here: http://www.qedeq.org/0_04_03/doc/math/qedeq_logic_v1.xml. But now we just follow the traditional mathematical way to present the elements of mathematical logic.

1.1 Terms and Formulas

We use the *logical symbols* $L = \{ \neg, \vee, \wedge, \leftrightarrow, \rightarrow, \forall, \exists \}$, the *predicate constants* $C = \{ c_i^k \mid i, k \in \omega \}$, the *function variables*² $F = \{ f_i^k \mid i, k \in \omega \wedge k > 0 \}$, the *function constants*³ $H = \{ h_i^k \mid i, k \in \omega \}$, the *subject variables* $V = \{ v_i \mid i \in \omega \}$, as well as *predicate variables* $P = \{ p_i^k \mid i, k \in \omega \}$.⁴ For the *arity* or *rank* of an operator we take the upper index. The set of predicate variables with zero arity is also called set of *proposition variables* or *sentence letters*: $A := \{ p_i^0 \mid i \in \omega \}$. For subject variables we write short hand certain lower letters: $v_1 = 'u'$, $v_2 = 'v'$, $v_3 = 'w'$, $v_4 = 'x'$, $v_5 = 'y'$, $v_6 = 'z'$. Furthermore we use the following short notations: for the predicate variables $p_1^n = '\phi'$ and $p_2^n = '\psi'$, where the appropriate arity n is calculated by counting the subsequent parameters, for the proposition variables $a_1 = 'A'$, $a_2 = 'B'$ and $a_3 = 'C'$,

¹See <http://www.w3.org/XML/> for more information.

²Function variables are used for a shorter notation. For example writing an identity proposition $x = y \rightarrow f(x) = f(y)$. Also this introduction prepares for the syntax extension for functional classes.

³Function constants are also introduced for convenience and are used for direct defined class functions. For example to define building of the power class operator, the union and intersection operator and the successor function. All these function constants can be interpreted as abbreviations.

⁴By ω we understand the natural numbers including zero. All involved symbols are pairwise disjoint. Therefore we can conclude for example: $f_i^k = f_{i'}^{k'} \rightarrow (k = k' \wedge i = i')$ and $h_i^k \neq v_j$.

for the function variables: $f_1^n = 'f'$ and $f_2^n = 'g'$, where again the appropriate arity n is calculated by counting the subsequent parameters. All binary propositional operators are written in infix notation. Parentheses surrounding groups of operands and operators are necessary to indicate the intended order in which operations are to be performed. E. g. for the operator \wedge with the parameters A and B we write $(A \wedge B)$.

In the absence of parentheses the usual precedence rules determine the order of operations. Especially outermost parentheses are omitted. Also empty parentheses are stripped.

The operators have the order of precedence described below (starting with the highest).

$$\begin{array}{c} \neg, \forall, \exists \\ \wedge \\ \vee \\ \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow \end{array}$$

The term *term* is defined recursively as follows:

1. Every subject variable is a term.
2. Let $i, k \in \omega$ and let t_1, \dots, t_k be terms. Then $h_i^k(t_1, \dots, t_k)$ is a term and if $k > 0$, so $f_i^k(t_1, \dots, t_k)$ is a term too.

Therefore all zero arity function constants $\{h_i^0 \mid i \in \omega\}$ are terms. They are called *individual constants*.⁵

We define a *formula* and the relations *free* and *bound* subject variable recursively as follows:

1. Every proposition variable is a formula. Such formulas contain no free or bound subject variables.
2. If p^k is a predicate variable with arity k and c^k is a predicate constant with arity k and t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k are terms, then $p^k(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)$ and $c^k(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)$ are formulas. All subject variables that occur at least in one of t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k are free subject variables. Bound subject variables does not occur.⁶
3. Let α, β be formulas in which no subject variables occur bound in one formula and free in the other. Then $\neg\alpha$, $(\alpha \wedge \beta)$, $(\alpha \vee \beta)$, $(\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$ and $(\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta)$ are also formulas. Subject variables which occur free (respectively bound) in α or β stay free (respectively bound).
4. If in the formula α the subject variable x_1 occurs not bound⁷, then also $\forall x_1 \alpha$ and $\exists x_1 \alpha$ are formulas. The symbol \forall is called *universal quantifier* and \exists as *existential quantifier*.

Except for x_1 all free subject variables of α stay free. All bound subject variables are still bound and additionally x_1 is bound too.

All formulas that are only built by usage of 1. and 3. are called formulas of the *propositional calculus*.

⁵In an analogous manner subject variables might be defined as function variables of zero arity. Because subject variables play an important role they have their own notation.

⁶This second item includes the first one, which is only listed for clarity.

⁷This means that x_1 is free in the formula or does not occur at all.

For each formula α the following proposition holds: the set of free subject variables is disjoint with the set of bound subject variables..⁸

If a formula has the form $\forall x_1 \alpha$ respectively $\exists x_1 \alpha$ then the formula α is called the *scope* of the quantifier \forall respectively \exists .

All formulas that are used to build up a formula by 1. to 4. are called *part formulas*.

⁸Other formalizations allow for example $\forall x_1 \alpha$ also if x_1 occurs already bound within α . Also propositions like $\alpha(x_1) \wedge (\forall x_1 \beta)$ are allowed. In this formalizations free and bound are defined for a single occurrence of a variable.

Chapter 2

Axioms and Rules of Inference

We now state the system of axioms for the propositional calculus and present the rules for obtaining new formulas from them.

2.1 Axioms

Here we just list the axioms without further explanations.

Axiom 1 (Implication Introduction). [axiom:THEN-1]

$$A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A)$$

Axiom 2 (Distribute Hypothesis over Implication). [axiom:THEN-2]

$$(A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C))$$

Axiom 3 (Eliminate Conjunction Right). [axiom:AND-1]

$$(A \wedge B) \rightarrow A$$

Axiom 4 (Eliminate Conjunction Left). [axiom:AND-2]

$$(A \wedge B) \rightarrow B$$

Axiom 5 (Conjunction Introduction). [axiom:AND-3]

$$B \rightarrow (A \rightarrow (A \wedge B))$$

Axiom 6 (Disjunction Introduction Right). [axiom:OR-1]

$$A \rightarrow (A \vee B)$$

Axiom 7 (Disjunction Introduction Left). [axiom:OR-2]

$$A \rightarrow (B \vee A)$$

Axiom 8 (Disjunction Elimination). [axiom:OR-3]

$$(A \rightarrow C) \rightarrow ((B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow ((A \vee B) \rightarrow C))$$

Axiom 9 (Negation Introduction). [axiom:NOT-1]

$$(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow \neg B) \rightarrow \neg A)$$

Axiom 10 (Negation Elimination). [axiom:NOT-2]

$$\neg A \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)$$

Axiom 11 (Excluded Middle). [axiom:NOT-3]

$$A \vee \neg A$$

Axiom 12 (Equivalence Elimination right). [axiom:IFF-1]

$$(A \leftrightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)$$

Axiom 13 (Equivalence Elimination left). [axiom:IFF-2]

$$(A \leftrightarrow B) \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A)$$

Axiom 14 (Equivalence Introduction). [axiom:IFF-3]

$$(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow ((B \rightarrow A) \rightarrow (A \leftrightarrow B))$$

If something is true for all x , it is true for any specific y .

Axiom 15 (Universal Instantiation). [axiom:universalInstantiation]

$$\forall x \phi(x) \rightarrow \phi(y)$$

If a predicate holds for some particular y , then there is an x for which the predicate holds.

Axiom 16 (Existential Generalization). [axiom:existencialGeneralization]

$$\phi(y) \rightarrow \exists x \phi(x)$$

2.2 Rules of Inference

The following rules of inference enable us to obtain new true formulas from the axioms that are assumed to be true. From these new formulas we derive further formulas. So we can successively extend the set of true formulas.

Rule 1 (Modus Ponens). [rule:modusPonens] *If both formulas α and $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ are true, then we can conclude that β is true as well.*

Rule 2 (Replace Free Subject Variable). [rule:replaceFree] *We start with a true formula. A free subject variable may be replaced by an arbitrary term, provided that the substituted term contains no subject variable that have a bound occurrence in the original formula. All occurrences of the free variable must be simultaneously replaced.*

The prohibition to use subject variables within the term that occur bound in the original formula has two reasons. First it ensures that the resulting formula is well-formed. Secondly it preserves the validity of the formula. Let us look at the following derivation.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \forall x \exists y \phi(x, y) & \rightarrow \exists y \phi(z, y) \quad \text{with axiom 15} \\ \forall x \exists y \phi(x, y) & \rightarrow \exists y \phi(y, y) \quad \text{forbidden replacement: } z \text{ in } y, \text{ despite } y \text{ is} \\ & \text{already bound} \\ \forall x \exists y x \neq y & \rightarrow \exists y \neq y \quad \text{replace } \neq \text{ for } \phi \end{array}$$

This last proposition is not valid in many models.

Rule 3 (Rename Bound Subject Variable). [rule:renameBound] *We may replace a bound subject variable occurring in a formula by any other subject variable, provided that the new variable occurs not free in the original formula. If the variable to be replaced occurs in more than one scope, then the replacement needs to be made in one scope only.*

Rule 4 (Replace Predicate Variable). [rule:replacePred] *Let α be a true formula that contains a predicate variable p of arity n , let x_1, \dots, x_n be pairwise different subject variables and let $\beta(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a formula where x_1, \dots, x_n are not bound. The formula $\beta(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ must not contain all x_1, \dots, x_n as free subject variables. Furthermore it can also have other subject variables either free or bound.*

If the following conditions are fulfilled, then a replacement of all occurrences of $p(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ each with appropriate terms t_1, \dots, t_n in α by $\beta(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ results in another true formula.

- *the free variables of $\beta(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ without x_1, \dots, x_n do not occur as bound variables in α*
- *each occurrence of $p(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ in α contains no bound variable of $\beta(x_1, \dots, x_n)$*
- *the result of the substitution is a well-formed formula*

See III §5 in [3].

The prohibition to use additional subject variables within the replacement formula that occur bound in the original formula assures that the resulting formula is well-formed. Furthermore it preserves the validity of the formula. Take a look at the following derivation.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \phi(x) & \rightarrow \exists y \phi(y) \quad \text{with axiom 16} \\ (\exists y y = y) \wedge \phi(x) & \rightarrow \exists y \phi(y) \\ \exists y (y = y \wedge \phi(x)) & \rightarrow \exists y \phi(y) \\ \exists y (y = y \wedge x \neq y) & \rightarrow \exists y y \neq y \quad \text{forbidden replacement: } \phi(x) \text{ by } x \neq y, \\ & \text{despite } y \text{ is already bound} \\ \exists y x \neq y & \rightarrow \exists y y \neq y \end{array}$$

The last proposition is not valid in many models.

Analogous to rule 4 we can replace function variables too.

Rule 5 (Replace Function Variable). [rule:replaceFunc] *Let α be an already proved formula that contains a function variable σ of arity n , let x_1, \dots, x_n be pairwise different subject variables and let $\tau(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be an arbitrary term where x_1, \dots, x_n are not bound. The term $\tau(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ must not contain all x_1, \dots, x_n as free subject variables. Furthermore it can also have other subject variables either free or bound.*

If the following conditions are fulfilled we can obtain a new true formula by replacing each occurrence of $\sigma(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ with appropriate terms t_1, \dots, t_n in α by $\tau(t_1, \dots, t_n)$.

- the free variables of $\tau(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ without x_1, \dots, x_n do not occur as bound variables in α
- each occurrence of $\sigma(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ in α contains no bound variable of $\tau(x_1, \dots, x_n)$
- the result of the substitution is a well-formed formula

Rule 6 (Universal Generalization). [rule:universalGeneralization] If $\alpha \rightarrow \beta(x_1)$ is a true formula and α does not contain the subject variable x_1 , then $\alpha \rightarrow (\forall x_1 (\beta(x_1)))$ is a true formula too.

Rule 7 (Existential Generalization). [rule:existentialGeneralization] If $\alpha(x_1) \rightarrow \beta$ is already proved to be true and β does not contain the subject variable x_1 , then $(\exists x_1 \alpha(x_1)) \rightarrow \beta$ is also a true formula.

2.3 First Propositions

Here we draw the first conclusions.

Proposition 1. [proposition:implicationReflexive1]

$$A \rightarrow A$$

Proof.

- | | |
|---|------------------------------------|
| (1) $A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A)$ | Add axiom 1 |
| (2) $(A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C))$ | Add axiom 2 |
| (3) $A \rightarrow (B \vee A)$ | Add axiom 7 |
| (4) $A \rightarrow ((B \vee A) \rightarrow A)$ | SubstPred B by $B \vee A$ in (1) |
| (5) $(A \rightarrow ((B \vee A) \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow (B \vee A)) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C))$ | SubstPred B by $B \vee A$ in (2) |
| (6) $(A \rightarrow ((B \vee A) \rightarrow A)) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow (B \vee A)) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow A))$ | SubstPred C by A in (5) |
| (7) $(A \rightarrow (B \vee A)) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow A)$ | MP (6), (4) |
| (8) $A \rightarrow A$ | MP (7), (3) |

□

Proposition 2. [proposition:implication19]

$$(A \vee B) \rightarrow (B \vee A)$$

Proof.

- | | |
|---|------------------------------------|
| (1) $A \rightarrow (A \vee B)$ | Add axiom 6 |
| (2) $A \rightarrow (B \vee A)$ | Add axiom 7 |
| (3) $(A \rightarrow C) \rightarrow ((B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow ((A \vee B) \rightarrow C))$ | Add axiom 8 |
| (4) $D \rightarrow (D \vee B)$ | SubstPred A by D in (1) |
| (5) $(A \rightarrow (C \vee A)) \rightarrow ((B \rightarrow (C \vee A)) \rightarrow ((A \vee B) \rightarrow (C \vee A)))$ | SubstPred C by $C \vee A$ in (3) |
| (6) $D \rightarrow (D \vee A)$ | SubstPred B by A in (4) |
| (7) $(A \rightarrow (B \vee A)) \rightarrow ((B \rightarrow (B \vee A)) \rightarrow ((A \vee B) \rightarrow (B \vee A)))$ | SubstPred C by B in (5) |
| (8) $(B \rightarrow (B \vee A)) \rightarrow ((A \vee B) \rightarrow (B \vee A))$ | MP (7), (2) |
| (9) $B \rightarrow (B \vee A)$ | SubstPred D by B in (6) |
| (10) $(A \vee B) \rightarrow (B \vee A)$ | MP (8), (9) |

□

Proposition 3. [proposition:implication23]

$$\neg(A \wedge \neg A)$$

Proof.

- | | | |
|-----|--|---|
| (1) | $(A \wedge B) \rightarrow A$ | Add axiom 3 |
| (2) | $(A \wedge B) \rightarrow B$ | Add axiom 4 |
| (3) | $(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow \neg B) \rightarrow \neg A)$ | Add axiom 9 |
| (4) | $(A \wedge \neg A) \rightarrow A$ | SubstPred B by $\neg A$ in (1) |
| (5) | $(A \wedge \neg A) \rightarrow \neg A$ | SubstPred B by $\neg A$ in (2) |
| (6) | $((A \wedge \neg A) \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (((A \wedge \neg A) \rightarrow \neg B) \rightarrow \neg(A \wedge \neg A))$ | SubstPred A by $A \wedge \neg A$ in (3) |
| (7) | $((A \wedge \neg A) \rightarrow A) \rightarrow (((A \wedge \neg A) \rightarrow \neg A) \rightarrow \neg(A \wedge \neg A))$ | SubstPred B by A in (6) |
| (8) | $((A \wedge \neg A) \rightarrow \neg A) \rightarrow \neg(A \wedge \neg A)$ | MP (7), (4) |
| (9) | $\neg(A \wedge \neg A)$ | MP (8), (5) |

□

2.4 Deduction Theorem

We prove the deduction theorem. This leads to the new rule *Conditional Proof*.

If we can prove B by assuming A as a hypothesis then we have proved $A \rightarrow B$. This reasoning is justified by the so-called *deduction theorem*. The deduction theorem holds for all first-order theories with the usual deductive systems for first-order logic. However our use of proposition variables and substitution rules make difficulties. We have to restrict the allowed inference rules to get a similar result.

Rule 8. [rule:CP] *We have the well-formed formula α and add it as a new proof line. Now we modify the existing inference rules. We can add a further proof line β if $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ is a well-formed formula and the usage of a previous inference rule with the following restrictions justifies the addition: for rule 2 occurs the replaced free variable not in α , for rule 4 occurs the replaced predicate variable not in α , for rule 5 occurs the replaced function variable not in α .*

Proof.

□

Based on: 1 2

Proof.

□

2.5 Further Theorems

The deduction theorem enables us to prove more propositions.

Proposition 4. [proposition:implication10]

$$(A \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)$$

Proof.

Conditional Proof

(1)	$A \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)$	Hypothesis
	Conditional Proof	
(2)	A	Hypothesis
(3)	$A \rightarrow B$	MP (1), (2)
(4)	B	MP (3), (2)
(5)	$A \rightarrow B$	Conclusion
(6)	$(A \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)$	Conclusion

□

Proposition 5. [proposition:implication11]

$$((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C))$$

Proof.

(1)	$A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A)$	Add axiom 1
(2)	$D \rightarrow (B \rightarrow D)$	SubstPred A by D in (1)
(3)	$D \rightarrow (A \rightarrow D)$	SubstPred B by A in (2)
(4)	$B \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)$	SubstPred D by B in (3)
	Conditional Proof	
(5)	$(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C)$	Hypothesis
	Conditional Proof	
(6)	A	Hypothesis
	Conditional Proof	
(7)	B	Hypothesis
(8)	$A \rightarrow B$	MP (4), (7)
(9)	$A \rightarrow C$	MP (5), (8)
(10)	C	MP (9), (6)
(11)	$B \rightarrow C$	Conclusion
(12)	$A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)$	Conclusion
(13)	$((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C))$	Conclusion

□

Proposition 6. [proposition:implication12]

$$(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow ((B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C))$$

Proof.

	Conditional Proof	
(1)	$A \rightarrow B$	Hypothesis
	Conditional Proof	
(2)	$B \rightarrow C$	Hypothesis
	Conditional Proof	
(3)	A	Hypothesis
(4)	B	MP (1), (3)
(5)	C	MP (2), (4)
(6)	$A \rightarrow C$	Conclusion
(7)	$(B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C)$	Conclusion
(8)	$(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow ((B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C))$	Conclusion

□

Proposition 7. [proposition:implication13]

$$(A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow (B \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C))$$

Proof.

Conditional Proof	
(1) $A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)$	Hypothesis
Conditional Proof	
(2) B	Hypothesis
Conditional Proof	
(3) A	Hypothesis
(4) $B \rightarrow C$	MP (1), (3)
(5) C	MP (4), (2)
(6) $A \rightarrow C$	Conclusion
(7) $B \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C)$	Conclusion
(8) $(A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow (B \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C))$	Conclusion

□

Proposition 8. [proposition:implication15]

$$((A \rightarrow B) \wedge (B \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C)$$

Proof.

(1) $(A \wedge B) \rightarrow A$	Add axiom 3
(2) $(A \wedge (B \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow A$	SubstPred B by $B \rightarrow C$ in (1)
(3) $((A \rightarrow B) \wedge (B \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)$	SubstPred A by $A \rightarrow B$ in (2)
(4) $(A \wedge B) \rightarrow B$	Add axiom 4
(5) $(A \wedge (B \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)$	SubstPred B by $B \rightarrow C$ in (4)
(6) $((A \rightarrow B) \wedge (B \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)$	SubstPred A by $A \rightarrow B$ in (5)
Conditional Proof	
(7) $(A \rightarrow B) \wedge (B \rightarrow C)$	Hypothesis
(8) $A \rightarrow B$	MP (3), (7)
(9) $B \rightarrow C$	MP (6), (7)
(10) $(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow ((B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C))$	Add proposition 6
(11) $(B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C)$	MP (10), (8)
(12) $A \rightarrow C$	MP (11), (9)
(13) $((A \rightarrow B) \wedge (B \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C)$	Conclusion

□

Proposition 9. [proposition:implication17]

$$(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow (B \wedge C)))$$

Proof.

(1) $B \rightarrow (A \rightarrow (A \wedge B))$	Add axiom 5
(2) $C \rightarrow (A \rightarrow (A \wedge C))$	SubstPred B by C in (1)
(3) $C \rightarrow (B \rightarrow (B \wedge C))$	SubstPred A by B in (2)
Conditional Proof	
(4) $A \rightarrow B$	Hypothesis
Conditional Proof	
(5) $A \rightarrow C$	Hypothesis
Conditional Proof	
(6) A	Hypothesis
(7) C	MP (5), (6)
(8) $B \rightarrow (B \wedge C)$	MP (3), (7)

(9)	B	
(10)	$B \wedge C$	MP (4), (6)
(11)	$A \rightarrow (B \wedge C)$	MP (8), (9)
(12)	$(A \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow (B \wedge C))$	Conclusion
(13)	$(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow (B \wedge C)))$	Conclusion

□

Proposition 10. [proposition:implication18]

$$(A \wedge B) \rightarrow (B \wedge A)$$

Proof.

(1)	$B \rightarrow (A \rightarrow (A \wedge B))$	Add axiom 5
(2)	$C \rightarrow (A \rightarrow (A \wedge C))$	SubstPred B by C in (1)
(3)	$C \rightarrow (B \rightarrow (B \wedge C))$	SubstPred A by B in (2)
(4)	$A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow (B \wedge A))$	SubstPred C by A in (3)
(5)	$(A \wedge B) \rightarrow A$	Add axiom 3
(6)	$(A \wedge B) \rightarrow B$	Add axiom 4
Conditional Proof		
(7)	$A \wedge B$	Hypothesis
(8)	A	MP (5), (7)
(9)	$B \rightarrow (B \wedge A)$	MP (4), (8)
(10)	B	MP (6), (7)
(11)	$B \wedge A$	MP (9), (10)
(12)	$(A \wedge B) \rightarrow (B \wedge A)$	Conclusion

□

Proposition 11. [proposition:implication20]

$$A \rightarrow \neg\neg A$$

Proof.

(1)	$A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A)$	Add axiom 1
(2)	$A \rightarrow (\neg A \rightarrow A)$	SubstPred B by $\neg A$ in (1)
(3)	$(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow \neg B) \rightarrow \neg A)$	Add axiom 9
(4)	$(\neg A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow ((\neg A \rightarrow \neg B) \rightarrow \neg\neg A)$	SubstPred A by $\neg A$ in (3)
(5)	$(\neg A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow ((\neg A \rightarrow \neg A) \rightarrow \neg\neg A)$	SubstPred B by A in (4)
(6)	$A \rightarrow A$	Add proposition 1
(7)	$\neg A \rightarrow \neg A$	SubstPred A by $\neg A$ in (6)
Conditional Proof		
(8)	A	Hypothesis
(9)	$\neg A \rightarrow A$	MP (2), (8)
(10)	$(\neg A \rightarrow \neg A) \rightarrow \neg\neg A$	MP (5), (9)
(11)	$\neg\neg A$	MP (10), (7)
(12)	$A \rightarrow \neg\neg A$	Conclusion

□

Proposition 12. [proposition:implication21]

$$(A \rightarrow \neg B) \rightarrow (B \rightarrow \neg A)$$

Proof.

(1)	$A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A)$	Add axiom 1
(2)	$C \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)$	SubstPred A by C in (1)
(3)	$C \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C)$	SubstPred B by A in (2)
(4)	$B \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)$	SubstPred C by B in (3)
(5)	$(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow \neg B) \rightarrow \neg A)$	Add axiom 9
	Conditional Proof	
(6)	$A \rightarrow \neg B$	Hypothesis
	Conditional Proof	
(7)	B	Hypothesis
(8)	$A \rightarrow B$	MP (4), (7)
(9)	$(A \rightarrow \neg B) \rightarrow \neg A$	MP (5), (8)
(10)	$\neg A$	MP (9), (6)
(11)	$B \rightarrow \neg A$	Conclusion
(12)	$(A \rightarrow \neg B) \rightarrow (B \rightarrow \neg A)$	Conclusion

□

Proposition 13. [proposition:implication22]

$$(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (\neg B \rightarrow \neg A)$$

Proof.

(1)	$A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A)$	Add axiom 1
(2)	$C \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)$	SubstPred A by C in (1)
(3)	$C \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C)$	SubstPred B by A in (2)
(4)	$\neg B \rightarrow (A \rightarrow \neg B)$	SubstPred C by $\neg B$ in (3)
(5)	$(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow \neg B) \rightarrow \neg A)$	Add axiom 9
	Conditional Proof	
(6)	$A \rightarrow B$	Hypothesis
(7)	$(A \rightarrow \neg B) \rightarrow \neg A$	MP (5), (6)
	Conditional Proof	
(8)	$\neg B$	Hypothesis
(9)	$A \rightarrow \neg B$	MP (4), (8)
(10)	$\neg A$	MP (7), (9)
(11)	$\neg B \rightarrow \neg A$	Conclusion
(12)	$(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (\neg B \rightarrow \neg A)$	Conclusion

□

Proposition 14. [proposition:implication31]

$$\neg\neg\neg A \rightarrow \neg A$$

Proof.

(1)	$A \rightarrow \neg\neg A$	Add proposition 11
(2)	$(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (\neg B \rightarrow \neg A)$	Add proposition 13
(3)	$(A \rightarrow \neg\neg A) \rightarrow (\neg\neg\neg A \rightarrow \neg A)$	SubstPred B by $\neg\neg A$ in (2)
(4)	$\neg\neg\neg A \rightarrow \neg A$	MP (3), (1)

□

Proposition 15. [proposition:implication33]

$$(\neg A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow \neg\neg A$$

Proof.

<p>(1) $A \rightarrow A$</p> <p>(2) $\neg A \rightarrow \neg A$</p> <p>(3) $(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow \neg B) \rightarrow \neg A)$</p> <p>(4) $(\neg A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow ((\neg A \rightarrow \neg B) \rightarrow \neg\neg A)$</p> <p>(5) $(\neg A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow ((\neg A \rightarrow \neg A) \rightarrow \neg\neg A)$</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Conditional Proof</p> <p>(6) $\neg A \rightarrow A$</p> <p>(7) $(\neg A \rightarrow \neg A) \rightarrow \neg\neg A$</p> <p>(8) $\neg\neg A$</p> <p>(9) $(\neg A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow \neg\neg A$</p>	<p>Add proposition 1</p> <p>SubstPred A by $\neg A$ in (1)</p> <p>Add axiom 9</p> <p>SubstPred A by $\neg A$ in (3)</p> <p>SubstPred B by A in (4)</p> <p>Hypothesis</p> <p>MP (5), (6)</p> <p>MP (7), (2)</p> <p>Conclusion</p>
---	---

□

Proposition 16. [proposition:implication35]

$$\neg\neg A \rightarrow A$$

Proof.

<p>(1) $A \vee \neg A$</p> <p>(2) $(A \rightarrow C) \rightarrow ((B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow ((A \vee B) \rightarrow C))$</p> <p>(3) $(A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow ((B \rightarrow A) \rightarrow ((A \vee B) \rightarrow A))$</p> <p>(4) $A \rightarrow A$</p> <p>(5) $(B \rightarrow A) \rightarrow ((A \vee B) \rightarrow A)$</p> <p>(6) $(\neg A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow ((A \vee \neg A) \rightarrow A)$</p> <p>(7) $\neg A \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)$</p> <p>(8) $\neg\neg A \rightarrow (\neg A \rightarrow B)$</p> <p>(9) $\neg\neg A \rightarrow (\neg A \rightarrow A)$</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Conditional Proof</p> <p>(10) $\neg\neg A$</p> <p>(11) $\neg A \rightarrow A$</p> <p>(12) $(A \vee \neg A) \rightarrow A$</p> <p>(13) A</p> <p>(14) $\neg\neg A \rightarrow A$</p>	<p>Add axiom 11</p> <p>Add axiom 8</p> <p>SubstPred C by A in (2)</p> <p>Add proposition 1</p> <p>MP (3), (4)</p> <p>SubstPred B by $\neg A$ in (5)</p> <p>Add axiom 10</p> <p>SubstPred A by $\neg A$ in (7)</p> <p>SubstPred B by A in (8)</p> <p>Hypothesis</p> <p>MP (9), (10)</p> <p>MP (6), (11)</p> <p>MP (12), (1)</p> <p>Conclusion</p>
---	---

□

Proposition 17. [proposition:implication43]

$$(A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow ((A \wedge B) \rightarrow C)$$

Proof.

<p style="text-align: center;">Conditional Proof</p> <p>(1) $A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)$</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Conditional Proof</p> <p>(2) $A \wedge B$</p> <p>(3) $(A \wedge B) \rightarrow A$</p> <p>(4) A</p> <p>(5) $(A \wedge B) \rightarrow B$</p> <p>(6) B</p> <p>(7) $B \rightarrow C$</p>	<p>Hypothesis</p> <p>Hypothesis</p> <p>Add axiom 3</p> <p>MP (3), (2)</p> <p>Add axiom 4</p> <p>MP (5), (2)</p> <p>MP (1), (4)</p>
--	---

(8)	C	MP (7), (6)
(9)	$(A \wedge B) \rightarrow C$	Conclusion
(10)	$(A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow ((A \wedge B) \rightarrow C)$	Conclusion

□

Proposition 18. [proposition:implication44]

$$((A \wedge B) \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C))$$

Proof.

	Conditional Proof	
(1)	$(A \wedge B) \rightarrow C$	Hypothesis
	Conditional Proof	
(2)	A	Hypothesis
(3)	$B \rightarrow (A \rightarrow (A \wedge B))$	Add axiom 5
	Conditional Proof	
(4)	B	Hypothesis
(5)	$A \rightarrow (A \wedge B)$	MP (3), (4)
(6)	$A \wedge B$	MP (5), (2)
(7)	C	MP (1), (6)
(8)	$B \rightarrow C$	Conclusion
(9)	$A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)$	Conclusion
(10)	$((A \wedge B) \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C))$	Conclusion

□

Bibliography

- [1] *P.S. Novikov*, Elements of Mathematical Logic, Edinburgh: Oliver and Boyd, 1964. 5
- [2] *V. Detlovs, K. Podnieks*, Introduction to Mathematical Logic, <http://www.ltn.lv/~podnieks/mlog/ml.htm>. 5
- [3] *D. Hilbert, W. Ackermann*, Grundzüge der theoretischen Logik, 2nd ed., Berlin: Springer, 1938. English version: Principles of Mathematical Logic, Chelsea, New York 1950, ed. by R. E. Luce. See also <http://www.math.uwaterloo.ca/~snburris/htdocs/scav/hilbert/hilbert.html> 5, 13
- [4] *E. Mendelson*, Introduction to Mathematical Logic, 3rd. ed., Belmont, CA: Wadsworth, 1987.
- [5] qedeq_logic_v1 http://www.qedeq.org/0_04_03/doc/math/qedeq_logic_v1.xml

Index

- arity, 7
- axiom
 - of existential generalization, 12
 - of universal instantiation, 12
- axioms, 11
- bound subject variable, 8
- calculus
 - propositional, 9
- conjunction
 - elimination, 11
 - introduction, 11
- constant
 - function, 7
 - individual, 8
 - predicate, 7
- deduction theorem, 15
- disjunction
 - elimination, 11
 - introduction, 11
- equivalence
 - elimination, 12
 - introduction, 12
- existential quantifier, 8
- formula, 7, 8
 - part, 9
- function constant, 7
- function variable, 7
- hypothesis distribution, 11
- implication introduction, 11
- individual constant, 8
- Modus Ponens, 12
- negation
 - elimination, 12
 - excluded middle, 12
 - introduction, 12
- part formula, 9
- predicate constant, 7
- predicate variable, 7
- proposition variable, 7
- propositional calculus, 9
- quantifier
 - existential, 8
 - scope, 9
 - universal, 8
- rank, 7
- rules
 - of inference, 12
 - of predicate calculus, 12
- scope, 9
- sentence letters, 7
- subject variable, 7
 - bound, 8
 - free, 8
- summary, 5
- term, 7, 8
- universal quantifier, 8
- variable
 - function, 7
 - predicate, 7
 - proposition, 7
 - subject, 7